



Probing the lithium/electrolyte distribution in Li-ion batteries by diffraction techniques

A.Senyshyn

Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ), Technische Universität München, Lichtenbergstr. 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

MLZ is a cooperation between:



Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht Zentrum für Waterial- und Küstenforschung







Information content of a powder pattern





Rietveld method



Analysis of diffraction data



Pair distribution function analysis





Electron vs. Nuclear densities (X-ray vs. Neutron diffaction)

Simulated electron densities

Simulated nuclear densities









Sources of radiation

Laboratory X-ray

Synchrotron source

Neutron source















Use of X-ray diffraction for battery applications

- X-ray diffraction studies of battery materials and components
- In-operando studies of complete batteries
- Types of in situ electrochemical cells for X-ray diffraction Rigaku – reflection cell Coin cell with window Swagelok cell









Courtesy: D. Mikhailova; Evolution of NaNi_{0.5}Ti_{0.5}O₂ structure, NNTO+NiO+Na+AI present to pattern



Advantages of neutron scattering

The energy of thermal neutrons is in range of meV

Neutrons are deeply penetrating into the matter

Neutrons interact with nucleous (strong force interaction)

The wavelength of thermal neutrons is similar to interatomic spacings. Neutron scattering length not depending on momentum transfer $(\sin(\theta)/\lambda)$.

Neutrons weakly perturb the experimental system, i.e. non-destructive.

Studies of bulk samples or processes under realistic conditions (in complex environments).

Neutrons can localize light atoms (e.g. hydrogen, lithium) in the presence of heavier ones and to distinguish isotopes (additional contrast) and neighboring elements from Periodic Table. Accurate Debye-Waller (displacement parameter) determination.

Details of the crystal structure. Studies of bulky samples – better particle average. Accurate lattice parameters and atomic coordinates, effects of microstructure.





Neutron-based experimental techniques with proven relevance in battery research

Neutron diffraction: detail of crystal structure, localisation and quantification of lithium; microstructural studies; phase analysis.

Neutron imaging: lithium distribution, gas formation, electrolyte dynamics; *Small-angle neutron scattering:* in-situ materials morphology and fracturing upon cell fatigue;

Quasielastic neutron scattering: in-situ structure and mobility of electrolytes in Li-ion batteries;

Reflectometry: studies of solid-electrolyte interphase; studies of lithiation in amorphous silicon; solid-liquid interfaces;

Neutron depth profiling: nanometer sensitive probe of lithium concentration in electrode materials;

Positron spectroscopy: charge- and fatigue-induced defect formation;

Neutron and Prompt gamma activation analysis: non-destructive and simultaneous elemental/isotope analysis;





Types of in situ electrochemical cells for neutron diffraction

Reflection cells

Flat transmission cells

65 mm Call top ring with plats legative ourrent colle urrent collector 53 mm -1.73 mm 4 90 mm TOP VIEW Polymenc attachment Plunger Mylar foil PEEK cell body Spring **Tillr** current collector Li metal (segative destrole) uminum casing Separator Gasket. Titarium ent collector **TIZr** container mium plunger Permiter (positive electrody)

ole holde



Wound/cylinder cells





Wound laminate made up of cathode, anode, current collectors and separator







Rietveld refinement of typical diffraction pattern for 18650 Li-ion battery







Evolution of diffraction data vs. Electrochemical treatment





A. Senyshyn et al. J. Electrochem. Soc. 160(5) (2013) A3198-A3205



Phase asymmetry in the lithium intercalated graphites







In-situ probe of cation mixing in NMC-type cathode

The LiCoO₂ has a high energy density, but cobalt is expensive and reactive ... The NMC materials - Li(Ni_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}Co_{1/3})O₂ or Li(Ni_{0.5}Mn_{0.3}Co_{0.2})O₂ are used as an alternative







Different mechanisms of Li-ion battery degradation







Fatigue Li-ion batteries: an experimental study

Two batches of Li-ion cells purposefully and rapidly cycled (CCCV, 1C) •"fresh" – single cycle for testing purposes •cycled at 25°C and 50°C - 200, 400, 600, 800 and 1000 times







Fatigue of battery: crystal structure

Possible reasons: Li-plating (dendrite growth), microcracks formation in electrodes; oxidation processes and phase transformations; SEI growth; electrolyte decomposition



Effect on Li-concentration





Principle of neutron radiography







Simultaneous neutron radiography and diffraction data collection on 18650-type cell cycled up-side-down

Fresh cell



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ICPzHO 1nQ8





In situ structural studies on 18650-type cell at low temperatures

Cell capacity vs. T



Discharge time vs. T



Enlarged section of powder diffraction patterns highlighting lithium intercalation into graphite, LiC₆ (001), LiC₁₂ (002) and C (002).







Towards higher capacity Li-ion batteries







Spatially-resolved neutron powder diffraction

Experimental setup



Distribution of gauge volumes



2D diffraction data



Diffraction pattern







Spatial distribution of lithium concentration x (Li_xC₆) in the graphite anode of cell 1 (high energy, LCO)

Experimental data are shown by black points and surfaces in false color representation Insets illustrate obtained diffraction data at selected coordinates.



4th Conference for Young Researchers, Skoltech, 15-18 September 2019





Spatial distribution of lithium concentration x (Li_xC₆) in the graphite anode of cell 1 (high energy, LCO)







Spatial distribution of lithium concentration x (Li_xC₆) in the graphite anode of three cell







Does cell fatigue affects the current distribution?

(cell 1, high energy, LCO)







Does cell fatigue affects current distribution?

(cell 1, high energy, LCO)







X-ray diffraction radiography

Beamline: P02.1, PETRA III synchrotron, 60 keV photon energy







X-ray diffraction radiography - results







X-ray diffraction tomography



"Phantom" sample – object with the contrast sufficient for the method validation. The 3D printed aluminum cylinder (20 mm in diameter) with the following layout was filled with different powders:





The XRD tomography experiment was performed on P07 beamline at PETRA III using 0.5x0.5 mm² sized beam of ca. 60 keV energy, 0.2 mm rastering step (111 translation points) and 61 projections collected upon 6° rotation. Altogether 6771 diffraction patterns were collected.





Absorption-based computed tomography

Along with the acquisition of diffraction signal, direct transmission was also registered and reconstructed.







Reconstruction







X-ray diffraction computed tomography

Theoretically generated diffraction pattern has been found in good agreement with the <u>"mean" experimental diffractogram</u>.







X-ray diffraction computed tomography - algorithm







X-ray diffraction computed tomography - results



Silicon (3.80°)



NaCl (4.30°)



Aluminium (5.10°)











Lithium distribution in the graphite anode of 18650-type lithium ion battery







Summary

- Present toolbox of experimental methods requires an opening of the cell usually supplemented by a possible evaporation of electrolyte, contamination of surfaces, unwanted modification of cell materials etc.
- Diffraction and imaging methods deliver unique information, which can be hardly obtained by any other means
- In operando, in situ, non destructive, extended contrast techniques ...
- Spatially-resolved neutron diffraction provides a unique experimental input in a non-destructive way on lithium distribution in the graphite electrode materials
- Crystallography is a theoretical tool helping to get most out of our data
- The capability limit of these techniques is not reached by far and still need to be explored ...
- Diffraction-based techniques have a lot of potential in probing the current and electrolyte distribution in the Li-ion cells of industrial standards: PGAA, diffraction, energy-resolved neutron radiography etc.





Acknowledgment

Dr. M.J. Mühlbauer (KIT), Dr. O. Dolotko (Ames Lab), Dr. A. Schökel, Dr. V. Baran (TUM), D. Petz, Dr. M. Monchak STRESS-SPEC team

Dr. M. Hoffmann (FRM II, TUM), Dr. W. Gan (HZG)

Neutron imaging group at FRM II

MLZ Group "Structure Research"

ILL: Dr. T. Pirling (SALSA), Dr. T. Hansen (D20/D2B)
ESRF: Dr. V. Dyadkin, Dr. D. Chernyshov (SNBL),
Dr. G. Vaughan, Dr. M. di Michel (id15)
ANSTO: Dr. M. Avdeev (ECHIDNA)
SNS: Dr. Y. Cen, Dr. M. Frost (VULCAN)
PETRA III: Dr. P. Staron, Dr. T. Fischer, Dr. E. Maawad (HEMS, HZG), Dr. M. Etter (P02.1)

*









Collaborators

Prof. H. Ehrenberg (KIT), Dr. R. Kostecki (LBL, Berkeley, USA), Prof. Y. Yang (Xiamen University, China)